Three references allocated by your services for the same complaint. Does this example of methodology explain the growing gap between the European Commission and European citizens? Does it contribute to the accentuation of the distance that settles in front of a European administrative organ that each day drifts a little more away from the essence at the very base of its creation?

For my part, despite your distorted analysis, the subject of my complaint remains the same. Whenever in Europe we have vaccines for Dogs and Cats that are identical in all respects, then these vaccines cannot be subject to a different duration of immunity depending on the European states at the mercy of an unfair trade contrary to interests of protecting the health of our dear Companions.

On April 11, 2018, via a press release, you became a consumer rights advocate and yet four months later, on August 8, 2018, you are sending me a letter that ignores the founding principles of Europe in favor of economic issues biased and destructive.

What happens to the European Commission? I appeal to the ethics, integrity and sensitivity that give you the primary duty to protect the health of our animals and our rights.

Are you able to bring to the Europeans benefits superior to those promulgated daily by their Animals? This does not seem (anymore?) to be the case, given ecological and de facto health issues that are piling up in Brussels, giving rise to unintended answers and directives to European citizens, disconnected from present urgency and applauded by countries with the most cynical financial policies.
Your answer of August 8, 2018, does not take into account 3 fundamental principles:

_ The Precautionary Principle
Inscribed in Article 191 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU

_ The Principle of Equality of all European Citizens
Inscribed in Chapter III, Article 20 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU

_ The Principle of Animal Welfare
Listed in Chapter II, Articles 3 and 4 of the European Convention for the Protection of Pets and included in Article 13 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU

So, your analysis as conclusion remain unfounded.

Today, the French Minister of Foreign Affairs, Jean-Yves Le Drian, speaking to the French ambassadors gathered in Paris, said:

"Each member state is free to elect the leaders it wants, but our vision of the EU as the primary circle of alliances and values is not compatible with governments that do not respect the fundamental principles and do not feel bound by community solidarity. [...] We are not ready to pay for this Europe. It must be said clearly »

What about when the European Commission through its arbitrations does not respect the fundamental principles of Europe, shows no community solidarity, generating on the contrary inflationary discrimination between European citizens?

In 2017, at the time of filing the complaint, which was so hopeful, Canis Ethica did not have available the tens of thousands of euros required for payment of attorney fees unlike the pharmaceutical lobby. Rest assured, that in the absence of positive feedback from you for persistence in your denatured conclusion; whatever the time and number of international crowdfunding campaigns required: an attorney will be hired and the complaint filed in higher level.

The powerful inertia that wins you at a time when all signals are red causes the loss of the best Minister we had in our government.

The European Commission must recover! It is not a question of harming the economic interests of the pharmaceutical lobby so well defended since 15 years ago, the pharmaceutical industry began selling vaccines with a duration of immunity of 3 years in the EU.

Regards,
Thilo HANE
President-Founder

Video and petition to your attention: IMMUNITY visible on https://www.canisethica.org/videos/